UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,550	08/22/2006	Mark J. Nixon	06005/41127	8474	
	45372 7590 01/03/2012 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP (FISHER)			EXAMINER	
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			DISTEFANO, GREGORY A		
6300 WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/03/2012	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	10/590,550	NIXON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	GREGORY A. DISTEFANO	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versillure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	ılv 2011.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) An election was made by the applicant in response		set forth during th	e interview on			
	the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.					
4) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·		e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) 1-6,8-19,21 and 24-28 is/are pending	in the application.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) 1-6, 8-19, 21, and 24-28 is/are rejected						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	prierry arraci de dierer 3 · re(a)	(4) 6. (.).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	•		J			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Fieferences Cited (PTO-532)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment (RCE) filed 7/5/2011.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-19, 21, and 24-28 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-19, 21, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thurner et al., US 7,165,226 (Hereinafter, Thurner) in view of Eryurek et al. (US 7,515,977), hereinafter Eryurek.

Regarding Claim 1, Thurner discloses "an object entity stored in a computer-readable storage medium for use with a user interface system for a process plant, the object entity comprising: a first portion defining graphics for a depiction of a process plant element of the process plant via the user interface". Specifically, the Workbench contains a number of tools for building and modifying the Data Structures and Dataflow diagrams. An Object Designer provides graphical design of objects in a number of different views, including Tree, Table, XML, and HTML views (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Thurner also discloses "and, a second portion identifying a data source for data indicative of on-line operation of the process plant element to be displayed via the depiction".. Specifically, other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments (Thurner, col 2, ln 55-65).

Thurner also discloses "wherein data indicative of the online operation of the process plant element is retrieved from the data source when the graphics for the depiction of the process plant element are rendered for

display via the user interface so that the depiction of the process plant element is displayed via the user interface in a manner indicative of the on-line operation of the process plant element". Specifically, the Multiple Coupled Browser Views Workbench of the present invention provides use of a display device, e.g., Browser or GUI, or the like, to couple several views of a manufacturing plant such that, if the user navigates through one view, all coupled views adjust their focus accordingly. As shown in FIG. 4a, there are at least three permutations of how the views can be coupled as provided by the present invention (Thurner, col 3, ln 57-65).

Thurner also discloses "wherein the first portion is set forth in a declarative format" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

However, Thurner does not explicitly teach of simulation of on-line operation of process plant elements. Eryurek teaches the following:

a third portion defining a method to be implemented to simulate the on-line operation of the process plant element, (column 7, lines 38-41), i.e. the plurality of applications 32 may be used in conjunction with and configured using a set of process configuration modules 39, which may provide simulation of an operation associated with a portion of a process plant 10.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the interface of Thurner with the simulation capability of Eryurek. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have made such modifications because both Thurner and Eryurek are directed to displays of a process plant environment and Thurner directly suggests the use of simulations in the abstract.

Regarding Claim 2, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 1, wherein the first portion defines an instance of a shape object utilized in rendering the depiction". Specifically, in the first arrangement, the left (L) and upper views (U), i.e., panes are coupled, according to a predetermined relationship, such that selection of an object in either pane results in a corresponding refocusing of the other pane on a related object (Thurner, col 3, ln 65- col 4, ln 5).

Regarding Claim 3, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 1, wherein the first portion defines an instance of a composite shape object utilized in rendering the depiction". Specifically, in the first arrangement,

the left (L) and upper views (U), i.e., panes are coupled, according to a predetermined relationship, such that selection of an object in either pane results in a corresponding refocusing of the other pane on a related object (Thurner, col 3, ln 65- col 4, ln 5).

Regarding Claim 4, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 1, wherein the declarative format is in accordance with an extensible markup language" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Regarding Claim 5, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 1, wherein the declarative format comprises a vector graphics format for script defining the graphics" (Thurner, col 3, ln 50-55).

Regarding Claim 6, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 1, wherein the first portion further defines a data conversion parameter to specify a graphical depiction of the data indicative of on-line operation of the process plant element". Specifically, other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments (Thurner, col 2, ln 55-65).

Regarding Claim 8, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 7, wherein the third portion is set forth in the declarative format" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Regarding Claim 9, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 1, wherein the second portion is set forth in the declarative format" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Regarding Claim 10, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 1, wherein the graphics include animated elements having animation indicative of the on-line operation of the process plant element". Specifically, other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business

process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments (Thurner, col 2, ln 55-65).

Regarding Claim 11, Thurner also discloses "a user interface system for a process plant, comprising: a computer processor, a computer-readable storage medium having instructions stored thereon which, when executed by the computer processor provides" and "a graphic display editor to configure a process graphic display having a graphic display element representative of a process plant element of the process plant". Specifically, the Workbench contains a number of tools for building and modifying the Data Structures and Dataflow diagrams. An Object Designer provides graphical design of objects in a number of different views, including Tree, Table, XML, and HTML views (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Thurner also discloses "wherein configuration information for the process graphic display generated by the graphic display editor is stored in the computer-readable medium in accordance with a declarative language" (Thurner, col 7, ln 58-65).

Thurner also discloses "a graphics rendering engine to generate a depiction of the process graphic display during runtime based on Commands derived from the configuration information". Specifically, other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments (Thurner, col 2, ln 55-65).

Thurner also discloses "a conversion engine for generating commands in accordance with a further declarative language based on graphics related information of the configuration information and for generating" The commands generated in Thurner are generated in Declarative language formats such as the Object Designer provides graphical design of objects in a number of different views, including Tree, Table, XML, and HTML views (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Thurner also discloses "a data source reference file from the configuration information for the process graphic display that identifies a data source for data to be displayed in connection with the graphic display element ". Specifically, the Multiple Coupled Browser Views Workbench of the present invention provides use of a display

device, e.g., Browser or GUI, or the like, to couple several views of a manufacturing plant such that, if the user navigates through one view, all coupled views adjust their focus accordingly. As shown in FIG. 4a, there are at least three permutations of how the views can be coupled as provided by the present invention (Thurner, col 3, ln 57-65).

However, Thurner does not explicitly teach of a data conversion routine. Eryurek teaches the following: generating commands specifying a data conversion routine for the graphic display element for converting data values from the data source to graphically and dynamically display the data from the data source in association with the display of the graphic display element, (abstract), a parameter storage adapted to communicate with the process plant to obtain and store device parameter information associated with the physical entity within the process plant.

As may be further seen in Eryurek's Fig. 9, the parameter information is converted into graphically displayed information.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the interface of Thurner with the graphical capability of Eryurek. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have made such modifications because both Thurner and Eryurek are directed to displays of a process plant environment and Thurner directly suggests the use of graphically monitoring plant elements in the abstract.

Regarding Claim 12, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 11, wherein the declarative language defines an extensible format for expressing the configuration information" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Regarding Claim 13, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 11, wherein the configuration information is stored in accordance with an object model framework based on the declarative language" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Regarding Claim 14, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 13, wherein the object model framework defines primitive shape objects made available by the graphic display editor to configure the

process graphic display to include an additional graphic display element constructed from the primitive shape objects. Specifically, other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments (Thurner, col 2, ln 55-65).

Regarding Claim 15, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 13, wherein the object model framework defines a composite object made available by the graphic display editor to configure the process graphic display to include an additional graphic display element constructed from the composite object". Specifically, other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments (Thurner, col 2, ln 55-65).

Regarding Claim 16, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 13, wherein the graphic display editor comprises graphical editing tools to create the composite object from previously constructed process model objects stored in the computer-readable medium". Specifically, other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments (Thurner, col 2, ln 55-65).

Regarding Claim 17, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 16, wherein the graphical editing tools are defined via the object model framework" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Regarding Claim 18, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 11, wherein the declarative language is an extensible markup language" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Regarding Claim 19, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 11, wherein the declarative language defines an XML-based format for describing the configuration information" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Page 8

Regarding Claim 21, Thurner also discloses "the user interface system of claim 11, wherein the further declarative language sets forth the graphics-related language in accordance with a vector graphics format" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Regarding Claim 26, Thurner also discloses "an object entity stored in a computer-readable storage medium for use with a user interface system for a process plant, the object entity comprising: a graphics portion defining graphics for a depiction of a process plant element of the process plant via the user interface". Specifically, other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments (Thurner, col 2, ln 55-65).

Thurner also discloses "a parameters portion identifying configurable aspects of the graphics".

Specifically, the Workbench provides an Integrated Engineering Environment in which a graphical configuration of distributed workflows and data flows are visually monitored and controlled (Thurner, col 3, ln 30-35).

Thurner also discloses "a navigation portion identifying data sources for content to be displayed in connection with the graphics" (Thurner, col 3, ln 55-56).

Thurner also discloses "wherein the graphics portion, the parameters portion, and the navigation portion, and the simulation portion are stored in the computer-readable medium discretely" (Thurner, col 7, ln 58-65).

However, Thurner does not explicitly teach of simulation of on-line operation of process plant elements. Eryurek teaches the following:

a simulation portion defining a method to be implemented to simulate the on-line operation of the process element, (column 7, lines 38-41), i.e. the plurality of applications 32 may be used in conjunction with and configured using a set of process configuration modules 39, which may provide simulation of an operation associated with a portion of a process plant 10.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the interface of Thurner with the simulation capability of Eryurek. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have made such modifications because both Thurner and Eryurek are directed to displays of a process plant environment and Thurner directly suggests the use of simulations in the abstract.

Regarding Claim 27, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 26, wherein the computer-readable medium comprises a plurality of memory storage devices, such that the graphics portion, the parameters portion, the navigation portion, and the simulation portion are not stored on a single memory storage device" (Thurner, col 7, ln 58-65).

Regarding Claim 28, Thurner also discloses "the object entity of claim 26, wherein the graphics portion comprises a description in an XML-based graphics language" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thurner et al., US 7,165,226 (Hereinafter, Thurner) in view of Gilbert et al. (US 2007/0132779).

Regarding Claim 24, Thurner also discloses "a method of configuring a user interface system for a process plant, comprising: using an object defining a composite graphical element to create a plurality of instances thereof in respective process graphic displays to be depicted via the user interface". Specifically, the Workbench contains a number of tools for building and modifying the Data Structures and Dataflow diagrams. An Object Designer provides graphical design of objects in a number of different views, including Tree, Table, XML, and HTML views (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/590,550 Page 10

Art Unit: 2175

Thurner also discloses "storing data in a computer-readable medium of the user interface system defining the plurality of instances of the composite graphical element" (Thurner, col 7, ln 58-65).

Thurner also discloses "modifying the object defining the composite graphical element". Specifically, the Workbench contains a number of tools for building and modifying the Data Structures and Dataflow diagrams. An Object Designer provides graphical design of objects in a number of different views, including Tree, Table, XML, and HTML views (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

However, Thurner does not explicitly teach of a method of propagating a modification to a plurality of instances of a graphical element. Gilbert teaches the following:

propagating the modification of the plurality of instances of the composite graphical element and automatically updating each of the plurality of instances of the composite graphical element to reflect the modification, (pg. 7, paragraph [0053]), i.e. class objects remain tied to the children objects instantiated therefrom, so that changes to the class objects can be automatically propagated to the children objects, even when these children objects are instantiated within a runtime environment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the interface of Thurner with the object modification method of Gilbert. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have made such modifications because both Thurner and Gilbert are directed to displays of a process plant environment

Regarding Claim 25, Thurner also discloses "the method of claim 24, wherein the object comprises a definition set forth in an XML-based graphics language" (Thurner, col 3, ln 43-47).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,550 Page 11

Art Unit: 2175

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to GREGORY A. DISTEFANO whose telephone number is (571)270-1644. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday through Friday, 9 a.m. - 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William

Bashore can be reached on 571-272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-

786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GREGORY A DISTEFANO/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175

12/10/2011

12/18/2011

/William Bashore/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175